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Signal-Strength-Based Localization

Where is the Mobile Device?

-40dBm
-70dBm
-30dBm

(x, y)
Locations Support Many Applications

- Guidance, Content Delivery & User Behavior Analysis
“Cheap and Ubiquitous Received-Signal-Strength”?

Signal attenuation along with distance **nonlinear**

Signal Distribution at a fixed location **noisy**
Related Works

- **Radio Propagation Models** – *(Rely on AP Locations)*
  - *Multilateration* – Dynamic fine-grained localization in ad-hoc networks of sensors. MOBICOM 2001

- **Machine Learning Models** – *(Not Need AP Locations)*
  - *KNN* -- LANDMARC: Indoor Location Sensing Using Active RFID. Ni et al. PerCom 2003. / RADAR etc.
Propagation-based Models

- Path Loss [Goldsmith et al.]
  - power radiation
    \[ P(d)[dBm] = P(d_0)[dBm] - 10n \log \frac{d}{d_0} \]

- Shadowing [Maligan et al.]
  - absorption, reflection, scattering, and diffraction
    \[ P(d)[dBm] = P(d_0)[dBm] - 10n \log \frac{d}{d_0} - \Phi(d) \]

- Indoor Attenuation Factors [Bahl et al.]
  - floors and walls
    \[ P(d)[dBm] = P(d_0)[dBm] - 10n \log \frac{d}{d_0} - \Phi(d) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} FAF_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} PAF_i \]

- Multipath [Goldsmith et al.]
  - ray-tracing, need more detail about environment
Question One

Can we build an accurate mapping from signals to locations?

* It is not easy to parameterize an indoor environment, (wall material, building structure, etc.)
Learning-based Models

- Two phases: 1) **offline** Training and 2) **online** Localization
- **Offline phase** – collect data to build a mapping function $F$ from signal space $S(AP1, AP2, AP3)$ to location space $L(x, y)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>$(AP1, AP2, AP3)$</th>
<th>$(x, y)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>(-60, -50, -40) dB</td>
<td>(1,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>(-62, -48, -35) dB</td>
<td>(2,0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>......</td>
<td>(..., ..., ...) dB</td>
<td>.....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_N$</td>
<td>(-50, -35, -42) dB</td>
<td>(9,5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Online phase** – given a new signal $s$, estimate the most likely location $l$ from function $F$
  - $s = (-60, -49, -36)$ dB, compute $l = F(s)$ as the estimated location
Learning-based Models (cont’)

- Manually Setup
  - HORUS/RADAR/…
  - Walk to several points
  - Collect data manually
  - Cost time

- Semi-automatically Setup
  - LANDMARC
  - Mark tag position manually
  - Collect data automatically
  - Cost money
Question Two

Can we reduce calibration effort?

* We need to collect a lot of data at many locations
Question Three & Four

Can a learning-based model benefit if access points are calibrated?
*Propagation models use AP locations while learning models don’t.

Can a learning-based model work purely online for adaptation?
*Learning models usually function in two phases: offline/online
Our Contribution

- Localization Models (a general framework)
  - A Flexible Model for Localization and Mapping
  - Increase accuracy with known-location clients or APs
  - Reduce calibration with unknown-location clients or APs
  - Can work offline/online or purely online for adaptation

- Localization Experiments (thorough study)
  - Devices: WiFi, Sensor Networks, RFID Networks
  - Test-bed: Hallways, Indoor open space, 2D & 3D
  - Mobility: Static, Moving persons, Moving robots.
Question One

Can we increase the accuracy when some labelled* (calibrated) data are available?

*A Labelled (calibrated) example is an input/output pair Example: (-60dBm,-50dBm,-70dBm) => (x,y)
Observation of Signal Strength

- A user with a mobile device walks through A, B, C, D, E, F

- Characteristics (statistically)
  - Two rows are similar \(\Leftrightarrow\) Two mobile devices are close \((t_A \& t_{A'})\)

- However, when observing individual noisy data points
  - Similar signals may not be nearby locations
  - Dissimilar signals may not be far away

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(AP_1)</th>
<th>(AP_2)</th>
<th>(AP_3)</th>
<th>(AP_4)</th>
<th>(AP_5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((x_1,y_1))</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td></td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_2,y_2))</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td></td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_3,y_3))</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_4,y_4))</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_5,y_5))</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_6,y_6))</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td></td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_7,y_7))</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(All values are rounded for illustration)
Motivation of Our Approach

Idea: Maximize the similarity correlation between signal and location spaces
(Kernel) CCA

**Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)**
- [H. Hotelling, 1936]
- Two data set X and Y
- Two linear Canonical Vectors $\mathbf{Wx}, \mathbf{Wy}$
- Maximize the correlation of projections

$$S_{x,w_x} = (\langle \mathbf{w}_x, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \mathbf{w}_x, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle)$$

$$S_{y,w_y} = (\langle \mathbf{w}_y, \mathbf{y}_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \mathbf{w}_y, \mathbf{y}_n \rangle)$$

$$\rho = \max_{w_x, w_y} \text{corr}(S_{x,w_x}, S_{y,w_y})$$

$$\rho = \max_{w_x, w_y} \frac{\langle S_{x,w_x}, S_{y,w_y} \rangle}{\|S_{x,w_x}\|\|S_{y,w_y}\|}$$

**Kernel CCA**
- [D.R Hardoon, S. Szedmak, and J. Shawe-Taylor, 2004]
- Two non-linear Canonical Vectors

$$\mathbf{w}_x = \mathbf{X}\alpha \quad \mathbf{w}_y = \mathbf{Y}\beta$$

- $K$ is the kernel

$$\rho = \max_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\alpha'K_x\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha'K_x^2\alpha \cdot \beta'K_y^2\beta}}.$$
LE-KCCA

**Offline phase**

- Signal strengths are collected at various grid locations.
- KCCA is used to learn the mapping between signal and location spaces.
  - $\lambda_i$'s and $\alpha_i$'s are obtained from the generalized eigen-problem
  - $\kappa$ is a regularization term

$$
(K_x + \kappa I)^{-1}K_y(K_y + \kappa I)^{-1}K_x \alpha = \lambda^2 \alpha,
$$

- For each training pair $(s_i, l_i)$, its projections

$$
P(s_i) = [P_1(s_i), P_2(s_i), \ldots, P_T(s_i)]'
$$

on the $T$ canonical vectors are obtained from

$$
P_x(\tilde{x}) = \phi_x(\tilde{x})' w_{\phi_x(x)} = k'_{\tilde{x}} \alpha,
$$
Online phase

- Assume the location of a new signal strength vector is \( s \).
- Again, use
  \[
P(x) = \phi_x(\tilde{x})' w_{\phi_x(x)} = k_x' \alpha,
\]
to project \( s \) onto the canonical vectors and obtain
  \[
P(\tilde{s}) = [P_1(\tilde{s}), P_2(\tilde{s}), \ldots, P_T(\tilde{s})]'.
\]
- Find the K Nearest Neighbors of \( P(s) \) in the projections \( P(s_i) \) of training set with the weighted Euclidean distance:
  \[
d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{T} \lambda_j (P_j(\tilde{s}) - P_j(s_i))^2
\]
- Interpolate these neighbors’ locations to predict the location of \( s \).

Essentially, we are performing Weighted KNN in the feature space with which weights are obtained from the feedback of location information.
Experimental Setup

- Test-bed
  - Department of Computer Science and Engineering
    Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

- 99 locations (1.5 × 1.5 meter)
- 100 samples per location
- 65% for training, 35% testing
- Repeat each experiment 10 times
Experimental Result

- How we use data set
  - 65% training
  - 35% testing
  - 10 repetition
- Error distance is 3.0m
  - LE-KCCA 91.6%
  - SVM 87.8%
  - MLE 86.1%
  - RADAR 78.8%
Can we reduce calibration effort by using additional unlabelled (uncalibrated) data?

- **Labelled** data are expensive to get
  - (-60dBm,-50dBm,-70dBm) => (x,y)
- **Unlabelled** data are easy to obtain
  - (-60dBm,-50dBm,-70dBm)
Observation of Signal Strength

- A user with a mobile device walks through A B, C, D, E, F

Characteristics (statistically)

- Two **rows** are similar $\iff$ Two mobile devices are close ($t_A$ & $t_{A'}$)
- Neighbored **rows** are similar $\iff$ User Trajectory is smooth ($t_i$ & $t_{i+1}$)

Basic Idea

- Bridge **labelled** and **unlabelled** data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$AP_1$</th>
<th>$AP_2$</th>
<th>$AP_3$</th>
<th>$AP_4$</th>
<th>$AP_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_A$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_B$</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_C$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_D$</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{A'}$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_E$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_F$</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are rounded for illustration.
Manifold Regularization

- Basic Assumption
  - If two points are close in the intrinsic geometry (manifold) of the marginal distribution, their conditional distributions are similar
    - Classification – Similar Labels
    - Regression – Similar Values

- Infer the unlabelled data by
  - Taking a look at the neighbor points
The Objective is to Optimize,

\[ f^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_K} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} V(r_i, z_i, f) + \gamma_A \|f\|^2_K + \frac{\gamma_I}{(u+l)^2} \hat{f}^T L \hat{f}, \]

- **Fitting Error (labelled data)**
- **Function Complexity in Ambient Space**
- **Function Complexity along Manifold (un/labelled)**

\[ \hat{V}(r_i, z_i, f) = (z_i - f(r_i))^2 \]
\[ \|f\|^2 = \alpha \ 'K \alpha \]

Optimal solution

\[ \alpha^* = (JK + \gamma_A l I + \frac{\gamma_I l}{(u+l)^2} L K)^{-1} Z, \]

**Figure 1:** The use of labeled and unlabeled examples
The **LeMan** Algorithm

- **Offline** Training Phase
  - Collect \( l \) labeled and \( u \) unlabeled signal examples
  - Construct graph Laplacian \( L \) Kernel Matrix \( K \)
  - Solving for \( \alpha \)
    \[
    \alpha^* = (JK + \gamma_A lI + \frac{\gamma I l}{(u + l)^2}LK)^{-1}Z,
    \]

- **Online** Localization Phase

  \[
  f^*(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{l+u} \alpha_i K(r_i, r).
  \]

Online phase time complexity \( O((l+u)N) \) where \( N \) is the number of sensors
Experimental Setup
LeMan has the smallest mean error distance 67cm
LeMan is robust. The std. of error distance is 39cm
LeMan needs more computation time. 0.242ms per location on 3.2GHz CPU on Matlab.
(e) Vary the ratio of labeled examples  
(d) Vary the number of unlabeled examples
Question Three

Can we employ further information source, e.g., access point locations?

* Propagation-based models use access point locations
* Learning-based models do not use their locations
What Kind of Data We Have?

- The Location of Mobile Devices
  - Known when walking by landmarks (corners, doors)
  - Unknown elsewhere

- The Location of Access Points
  - Known for those deployed by us
  - Unknown for those deployed by other persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Signal Strength (unit:dBm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_D$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_F$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Known $\text{(x1,y1)}$, Known $\text{(x3,y3)}$, Unknown $\text{(-,-)}$)

(All values are rounded for illustration)
Observation of Signal Strength

A user with a mobile device walks through A, B, C, D, E, F

Characteristics / Constraints
- Two rows are similar $\iff$ Two mobile devices are close ($t_A$ & $t_E$)
- Neighbored rows are similar $\iff$ User Trajectory is smooth ($t_i$ & $t_{i+1}$)
- Two columns are similar $\iff$ Two access points are close ($AP_1$ & $AP_4$)
- Strong cell $\iff$ mobile device and access point are close ($t_D$ at $AP_3$)

Table 1: Signal Strength (unit: dBm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$AP_1$</th>
<th>$AP_2$</th>
<th>$AP_3$</th>
<th>$AP_4$</th>
<th>$AP_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_A$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td></td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_B$</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td></td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_C$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_D$</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_A'$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_E$</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_F$</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(All values are rounded for illustration)
Dimension Reduction

Idea - Latent Semantic Indexing

- Term $\leftrightarrow$ Access Point
- Document $\leftrightarrow$ Signal Fingerprint

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 \text{Doc}\backslash\text{Term} & \text{moon} & \text{car} & \text{truck} \\
\hline
\text{Doc}_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{Doc}_2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
\text{Doc}_3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & AP_1 & AP_2 & AP_3 & AP_4 & AP_5 \\
 t_A & -40 & -60 & -40 & -70 \\
 t_B & -50 & -60 & -80 \\
 t_C & -40 & -70 \\
 t_D & -80 & -40 & -70 \\
 t_E & -40 & -70 & -40 & -80 \\
 t_F & -80 & -80 & -50 \\
\end{array}
$$

SVD

$\text{vehicle (query)}$

$\text{Doc}_3$

$\text{Doc}_2$

$\text{Doc}_1$
Solution of Latent Semantic Indexing

- Transform signal matrix to weight matrix
  \[ S = [s_{ij}]_{m \times n} \quad \rightarrow \quad A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n} \]

- Normalize the weight matrix
  \[ A_N = D_1^{-1/2} A D_2^{-1/2} \]
  \[ D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1^1, d_2^1, \ldots, d_m^1) \quad \text{where} \quad d_i^1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \]
  \[ D_2 = \text{diag}(d_1^2, d_2^2, \ldots, d_n^2) \quad \text{where} \quad d_j^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} \]

- Recover the relative coordinates by SVD
  \[ A_N \approx U_{m \times r} \Sigma_{r \times r} V_{n \times r}' \]

- Notation
  - \( m \) mobile devices, \( n \) access point, \( r=2 \) dimension
Illustration of Latent Semantic Indexing

- Retrieve **Relative** Coordinates / Recover AP locations as well
- **Well** Alignment between Mobile Device and Access Points
Dimension Reduction

Encode Labels by Manifold Learning

- Neighbors in Locations ⇔ Neighbors in Signals?
- Construct K-Neighborhood Graph for Manifold

(c) Experimental Physical Test-bed
(d) Experimental Signal Manifold
Offline Training Phase (Give labels to unlabeled data)

- Optimal locations of mobile devices and access points

\[
R^* = \arg\min_{R \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times 2}} \left( (R - Y)'J(R - Y) + \gamma R'LR \right)
\]

Encode Labels

\[
R = [r'_1, r'_2, \ldots, r'_{m+n}]' = [P' \quad Q']'
\]

Signal Manifold

\[
Y = [Y'_P \quad Y'_Q]'
\]

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
J_P & 0 \\
0 & J_Q
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Online Localization Phase

- Use the Property of Harmonic Functions (~Weighted KNN)

\[
\tilde{r}_i \approx \frac{\sum_{j \in C_i \cup B_i} w_{ij} r_j}{\sum_{j \in C_i \cup B_i} w_{ij}}
\]
### Dimension Reduction

#### Encode Labels by Manifold Learning

\[ L = D - W \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( W_p )</th>
<th>( W )</th>
<th>( A_N )</th>
<th>( L_Q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manifold Matrix</td>
<td>Latent Semantic Index</td>
<td>Correlation within mobile devices</td>
<td>Manifold Matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation within mobile devices</td>
<td>Correlation between mobile devices and access points</td>
<td>Correlation between access points and mobile devices</td>
<td>Correlation within access points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Co-Localization Example

802.11 WLAN Test-bed

Co-Localization Result
Experimental Setups

- 802.11 Wireless LAN (WLAN)
- Wireless Sensor Network (WSN)
- Radio-frequency identification (RFID)

Table 2: The experimental setups of WLAN, WSN and RFID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>Test-bed</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Dataset Size</th>
<th>Motion Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLAN</td>
<td>5 Access Points</td>
<td>1 Notebook</td>
<td>Hallway</td>
<td>$60 \text{m} \times 50 \text{m}$</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Mobile (robot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSN</td>
<td>8 Static Nodes</td>
<td>1 Mobile Node</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>$5 \text{m} \times 4 \text{m}$</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Mobile (human)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID</td>
<td>4 RFID Readers</td>
<td>30 RFID Tags</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>$5 \text{m} \times 4 \text{m}$</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tests on WLAN / WSN / RFID

Different Test-beds

Locate Mobile Devices

Locate Access Points
Question Four

Can we update the model online?

- The previous models are operated in a traditional offline/online manner
- How to adapt new data without retraining everything?
Online Co-Localization

- **Predict Step**
  - Use Weighted KNN as initial estimation of the Coordinate

- **Update Step**
  - Update the K-Neighborhood in Manifold
  - Update Coordinate Iteratively by

$$\mathbf{r}_i^{new} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i} w_{ij} \mathbf{r}_j^{old}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i} w_{ij}} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m + n)$$

(a) A 4-Nearest-Neighbor graph that has 5 nodes

(b) Add Node 6 to the graph
Experimental Setups

- 802.11 Wireless LAN (WLAN)
Online Co-Localization [movie]

(a) Walk by A: detect $AP_1$ and $AP_3$
(b) Walk by B: revise $AP_1$
(c) Walk by C: detect $AP_2$ and revise $AP_3$
(d) Walk by D: revise $AP_3$
(e) Walk by E: detect $AP_4$ and $AP_5$
(f) Walk by F: revise $AP_5$

Figure 2: Illustration of the Online Co-Localization when a user walks from A through B, \ldots, D to F
Model Update Speed

- 10 times faster than its two-phase counterpart
- Accuracy is the same as the two-phase method

Figure 4: Average Running Time Comparison
Summary

- Radio-Signal-Strength-based Tracking
  - RSS-based Tracking
  - Application Scenario
  - Radio Characteristics
  - Localization Models
- Increase Accuracy
  - LeKCCA (IJCAI-2005)
- Reduce Calibration
  - LeMan (AAAI-2006)
- Encode Further Information Sources
  - Co-Localization (IJCAI 2007)
- Update Model Online
  - Online Co-Localization (AAAI-2007)
- Conclusion
Development of Our Models

Table 10.1: Characteristics of Our Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method / Calibration</th>
<th>Access Point</th>
<th>Mobile Device</th>
<th>Trace</th>
<th>Online</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unlabeled</td>
<td>Labeled</td>
<td>Unlabeled</td>
<td>Labeled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeKCCA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeMan</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Localization</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Co-Localization</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The End

Thank You

Question ?